UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/575,917	07/25/2006	Jean Hardy	P/3255-99	5468
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			EXAMINER	
			BRINSON, PATRICK F	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3754	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		Applicantia
Office Action Comments	10/575,917	HARDY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Patrick F. Brinson	3754
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		•
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 7 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 4-6 and 8 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examines  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examines  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application for a list of the priority documents</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/14/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/575,917

Art Unit: 3754

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 5,934,335 to **Hardy** in view of 5,507,320 to **Plumley**.

The patent to **Hardy** discloses a flexible tubular pipe for transporting hydrocarbons, comprising independent outwardly successive layers of helical windings of various profiled strips and the layers including at least one sheath comprised of polymer material, at least one sheath (2) comprising a tetrafluoroethylene (TFE) and another fluoromonomer, such as perfluoro (propyl vinyl ether). It is further disclosed that the layer (2) can be made with TEFLON TFA. It is disclosed that the thickness of the TFE is 0.5 mm to 3 mm. Hardy does not disclose the TEFLON layer as being comprised of a helical wound tape. The patent to **Plumley** disclose a hose suitable for transporting fuel including a Teflon wrap (14). It is disclosed that the wrap serves to increase the permeation resistance provided by the layer (12). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

modify the sheath of **Hardy** such that it is a tape or wrap as suggested by **Plumley** in order to alternatively provide a polytetrafluoroethylene material layer.

## Conclusion

2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Dupoiron et al., Ferlier et al., Skipper, Whitworth, Cheetham et al., Washizu, St. John et al. and Espinasse are all pertinent to Applicant's invention in disclosing pipe having PTFE layers.

## Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim4-6 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Patrick F. Brinson** whose telephone number is (571) 272-4897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Kevin P. Shaver** can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone

Art Unit: 3754

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patrick F. Brinson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3754

P. F. Brinson October 13, 2007